Adapting English Language Policy: China, Japan, and South Korea in an Era of Globalization and Digital Transformation

Luo Chao

College of Foreign Studies, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, Guangxi, 541004, China

Abstract: This paper undertakes a comparative analysis of English language education policy frameworks across China, Japan, and South Korea. Whereas economic competitiveness historically constituted the primary impetus for policy development, contemporary technological acceleration and reconfigured globalization dynamics have fundamentally altered strategic imperatives. The investigation specifically interrogates the interplay between national policy formulation, curricular operationalization mechanisms, pedagogical technology integration, and regulatory responses to private educational markets. Crucially, despite converging discourses on English's strategic value, significant divergences emerge in adaptation pathways—reflecting distinct historical-institutional configurations and sociocultural contingencies. The findings illuminate persistent tensions between macro-level policy objectives, localized implementation constraints, and evolving transnational demands, underscoring both structural disparities in educational equity and the imperative for contextually adaptive governance approaches.

Keywords: English language policy; Education technology; Comparative education; Globalization; Educational equity

DOI: 10.62639/sspjiss11.20250209

1. Introduction: English in a Changing World

China, Japan, and South Korea consistently view English proficiency as a valuable national resource. Historically, their English education policies developed from English's practical uses in global trade, scientific cooperation, and international dialogue (Butler & Iino, 2005; Hu, 2007; Park, 2009). Yet these policies operate differently due to unique social and political backgrounds. Recent changes—like digital growth, English-dominated online spaces, and technology-based communication—significantly reshape this field. Policymakers now face dual tasks: maintaining core goals while addressing new issues about English education's purpose, suitable teaching methods, and key skills for global participation.

This study examines how the three countries adapt their English education policies to these changes. We focus on three key areas: 1) Policy goals in official documents; 2) How schools implement these goals through curricula and exams; 3) Technology use in classrooms and private tutoring's impact. Our analysis identifies common challenges and distinct national strategies, exploring how local conditions shape policy effectiveness (Spolsky, 2004).

2. Historical Foundations of English Language Policy

Contemporary approaches to English education remain deeply inscribed by unique national histories. Following China's 1978 "Reform and Opening Up," English was recast as an indispensable conduit to global markets and scientific advancement. Policymakers emphasized utilitarian language skills (Hu, 2007), propelling university-level academic

(Manuscript NO.: JISS-25-9-49001)

About the Author

Luo Chao (1986-) is a lecturer. His primary research interests lie in the areas of language policy, language teaching, and cross-cultural communication.

English programs and culminating in nationwide standards like the College English Test (CET). A pivotal shift occurred in 2001 with mandating English instruction from third grade, signaling unambiguous state prioritization.

Japan's historical engagement with English centered largely on reading and translation for accessing Western knowledge, with less emphasis on oral skills. The 1980's drive for "internationalization" prompted reforms aimed at fostering communicative competence. The Japan Exchange and Teaching (JET) Program, launched in 1987, brought native English speakers into schools. The ambitious "Action Plan to Cultivate 'Japanese with English Abilities'" (2003) set national proficiency targets, though outcomes varied considerably (Butler & Iino, 2005; Hashimoto, 2009). A recurring theme involves balancing the need for global communication with concerns about preserving Japanese linguistic and cultural identity.

Meanwhile in South Korea, the 1990s export-driven economic surge elevated English to unprecedented importance. Fluency came to represent both personal career mobility and national competitiveness. The government responded by funding native-speaking teachers through the English Program in Korea (EPIK) initiative, building modern language labs, and mandating elementary English instruction from Grade 3. The high-stakes College Scholastic Ability Test (CSAT) exam continues to powerfully shape English pedagogy today. As Choi (2008) and Park (2009) document, this societal emphasis has spawned an extensive private tutoring industry.

3. Contemporary Policy Frameworks: Structures and Goals

Current policies reflect evolving national priorities while retaining historical influences. China's policy, governed by the Ministry of Education (MOE) through the "National English Curriculum Standards," now emphasizes "Core Competencies" (language ability, cultural awareness, cognitive development, learning capacity). The significant "Double Reduction" Policy (2021) curtailed private tutoring in core subjects like English, aiming to reduce student burden and inequality. Current emphases include aligning English education with national values, enhancing practical application and critical thinking (despite persistent exam pressures), promoting technology integration under "Internet Plus Education" primarily as a tool for personalized learning and assessment (MOE, 2022), and developing the capacity to communicate China's perspectives globally.

Japan's policy, directed by Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) through the "Course of Study" (latest revisions implemented 2017/18, 2022), focuses on promoting "Active Learning" methodologies, starting formal English instruction earlier (Grade 5 as an academic subject, with activities from Grade 3), integrating all four language skills (influencing gradual university entrance exam reforms), and framing English as essential for cultivating "Global Human Resources," with technology discussed within broader societal goals like "Society 5.0" (MEXT, 2018).

South Korea's Ministry of Education (MOE) governs a system heavily influenced by the high-stakes CSAT. The "National English Curriculum" explicitly prioritizes communicative competence for real-life situations. The government pursues ambitious technology integration plans, including state-led development of AI-powered digital textbooks and adaptive learning platforms for English (Korea MOE, 2020, 2021), positioning Korea at the forefront of such initiatives.

4. Technology Integration: Policy Aspirations and Ground-Level Realities

While China, Japan, and South Korea all endorse educational technology in official policies, their classroom integration differs markedly. China's heavy state investment in digital infrastructure has spurred broad English language teaching (ELT) applications. Schools widely use adaptive platforms for personalized exercises and automated writing tools for instant grammar feedback, while AI conversation tutors gradually emerge. Yet urban-rural

divides persist in implementation quality. Problems include unreliable educational software, overuse of tech for rote drills instead of critical thinking, student data vulnerabilities, and market disruptions from the "Double Reduction" policy.

Japan takes a more cautious path. MEXT backs digital textbooks with basic interactive features and maintains Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) labs, with limited trials of teaching robots. Technology often streamlines administration or supports foundational skills rather than transforming pedagogy. Teacher training for tech integration remains insufficient nationwide. Adoption barriers include tight budgets, educators' reluctance to compromise human interaction, and weak tech support in rural schools. Several publicized tech failures have also deepened public skepticism, slowing progress.

South Korea is pursuing the most concerted national strategy for integrating advanced technology into education. The government is actively developing and planning the deployment of AI-powered digital textbooks across subjects, including English, which promise personalized learning experiences. Government initiatives also foster national AI learning platforms and analytics tools. Complementing this, a dynamic private EdTech sector develops sophisticated English learning applications, often utilizing technology for conversation practice or tutor augmentation. Despite this strong push, challenges remain. Heavy reliance on high-speed internet creates access inequities. Concerns include potential over-reliance on technology, questions about the quality of feedback for complex language use from current systems, increased student screen time, and the risk that premium private tools could exacerbate existing socio-economic inequalities (OECD, 2021; UNESCO, 2019). Furthermore, the persistent pressure of the CSAT can constrain innovative pedagogical applications of technology in the classroom.

5. Evolving Competency Demands and Policy Alignment

The skills needed in English are changing as technology advances. Knowing grammar rules and vocabulary is still important, but it's becoming even more crucial to be able to judge information carefully, especially what you find online, to communicate well with people from different cultures in digital spaces, and to handle complicated international situations. These advanced abilities require sharp thinking, a deep understanding of subtleties, and the capacity to adapt. When we look at how well the education policies in China, Japan, and South Korea are addressing these new needs, the results are uneven across the three countries.

China's national curriculum framework highlights "thinking quality" and "cultural awareness," which should, in theory, encourage students to think critically and understand other cultures. But the reality in schools is often different. What gets taught day-to-day and what gets tested in major exams like the *Gaokao* still heavily focus on traditional skills: grammar, reading passages, and writing structured essays. This emphasis doesn't match the rising demand for English that's more flexible, critical, and creative. As a result, when technology is used in classrooms, it often ends up supporting these older ways of learning rather than helping students develop the new, more complex skills that are increasingly important.

Japan's promotion of "Active Learning" and communication skills offers potential pathways towards fostering collaboration and critical thinking. Yet, the powerful influence of university entrance examinations, even amidst reforms, coupled with pedagogical traditions emphasizing accuracy over creative expression, hinders the development of the more dynamic competencies increasingly required in global and digital contexts. While policy documents mention "information literacy," they lack specific connections to English language education and the critical evaluation of digital content.

South Korea's strong curricular focus on practical communication provides a solid base for real-world interaction, and some policy initiatives explicitly link language learning with broader "AI literacy." Nevertheless, the overwhelming pressure exerted by the CSAT, which emphasizes speed and accuracy in reading and listening comprehension,

severely limits the classroom time available for developing critical analysis of information sources, sophisticated collaborative communication, or nuanced cross-cultural engagement in digital spaces. The private sector, while technologically advanced, often concentrates its resources on test preparation rather than these broader skills.

6. Private Supplementary Education and Equity Implications

Private tutoring has become widespread across East Asia, often functioning with limited government oversight while adopting new technologies. South Korea's extensive network of *hagwon* (private academies) delivers intensive exam coaching, conversational English practice, and tech-assisted learning. This situation raises serious equity concerns. Families with higher incomes can afford superior private tutoring featuring advanced tools, while others cannot. As Kim (2016) documents, this disparity threatens to widen student achievement gaps, even as governments invest heavily in public education. In Japan, the prevalent *juku* (cram schools) concentrate heavily on test success. Although these schools may integrate technology more slowly, expensive new online tutoring services are proliferating, creating similar barriers for students from less affluent backgrounds. China's "Double Reduction" policy significantly restricted paid tutoring in core subjects like English for school-aged children. While intended to lessen student pressure and reduce inequality, this policy shift has driven some tutoring underground or online, making it harder to monitor quality. Wealthier families often continue accessing high-cost tutors based abroad or sophisticated learning platforms, whereas others have fewer options. New forms of educational inequality may emerge as a result. The regulatory status of self-directed learning apps remains unclear amidst these ongoing changes.

Using advanced EdTech like adaptive learning systems and AI tutors may widen existing educational gaps. Students with reliable internet, modern devices, and money for premium tutoring gain clear advantages. These tools help them develop vital English skills faster for today's tech-centered world. Meanwhile, students without these resources—especially those in public schools with slow, uneven, or basic technology use (like vocabulary drills)—risk falling behind. This gap affects more than language skills: it also limits their chance to learn digital tools needed for global communication. As a result, they face a double burden: weaker English abilities combined with limited tech skills.

7. Comparative Analysis: Shared Pressures, Distinct Pathways

Though China, Japan, and South Korea all acknowledge English's critical role in global competitiveness, their actual policy approaches diverge sharply. China's MOE centrally drives initiatives focused on economic growth, technological advancement, and expanding international sway. Japan prioritizes cultivating globally-minded citizens through MEXT-coordinated frameworks, though local schools carry out most implementation. South Korea's MOE maintains tight control while emphasizing economic strength and individual opportunity through English mastery. All three countries introduce English around third grade, but Japan delays formal academic instruction until fifth grade—a notable divergence in early language education strategy.

Pedagogically, China theoretically promotes core competencies but faces strong constraints from examination pressures. Japan aims for communicative and active learning but struggles against traditions emphasizing accuracy. South Korea strongly emphasizes practical communication but remains heavily influenced by the dominant CSAT. Regarding technology, China promotes strong integration ("Internet Plus Education") and shows rapid, commercially-driven adoption focused on drills, automated assessment, and tutoring apps. Japan takes a more moderate and cautious approach, resulting in slower, fragmented adoption centered on digital resources and basic CALL. South Korea pursues very aggressive, state-led adoption (e.g., AI textbooks), achieving rapid, government-driven integration emphasizing personalized adaptive learning, AI tutors, and assessment.

In addressing the evolving competencies needed for critical engagement in global and digital contexts, China's core competencies framework offers potential, but implementation lags behind policy goals. Japan shows limited explicit connection between policy and these new demands, hindered by traditional approaches and exams. South Korea demonstrates some awareness through initiatives linking language and AI literacy but is significantly constrained by the CSAT's focus. The private sector plays a massive, though recently regulated, role in China; remains significant and exam-focused in Japan; and is dominant in South Korea, particularly through "*hagwon*" and premium tools.

All three countries face deep-rooted inequalities in education. China's "Double Reduction" policy tried to reduce student pressure but unexpectedly widened the gap between city and rural schools while making quality teaching harder to access. Japan shows clear technology divides, where students in poor or remote areas miss out on digital tools common in cities. South Korea struggles with two problems: extremely costly private tutoring and uneven internet access across regions.

Education policymakers across these East Asian nations confront fundamentally aligned challenges. A central tension exists between preparing students for high-stakes standardized examinations and cultivating higher-order critical thinking competencies. Compounding this dilemma, the pervasive influence of private supplementary education exacerbates socioeconomic stratification. This inequity dynamic is further intensified by significant disparities in access to digital learning infrastructure and educational technologies. Simultaneously, deeply entrenched pedagogical traditions pose substantial obstacles to implementing innovative instructional approaches within mainstream educational settings.

Distinct national response patterns emerge when examining policy adaptations to these shared pressures. South Korea pursues technology integration through centralized governmental initiatives characterized by comprehensive implementation frameworks and substantial resource allocation. China demonstrates rapid proliferation of commercially developed educational technologies, though this expansion remains vulnerable to disruption from abrupt regulatory shifts and fluctuating policy directives. Japan's evolutionary reform trajectory unfolds incrementally, with institutional path dependencies and deeply rooted cultural conventions—particularly collectivist educational values and consensus-driven decision-making processes—profoundly mediating the pace and substance of pedagogical innovation.

8. Conclusion: Policy Agility in a Dynamic Landscape

China, Japan, and South Korea navigate intensifying pressures as they reconfigure English education—their enduring national objectives now contesting rapid global shifts and technological disruption. While historically united by economic rationales for English proficiency, these nations currently pursue strikingly divergent policy directions. This variation originates in distinct governance frameworks, historically embedded educational systems, and profound cultural dispositions that mediate responses to emerging imperatives.

Advanced English proficiency operates as a critical portal: indispensable for accessing transnational knowledge networks, engaging substantively in global discourse, and harnessing technological capabilities. Policymakers must consequently transcend employing technology merely to enhance efficiency in traditional skill delivery. The essential challenge resides instead in cultivating students' capacity for critical global engagement—specifically, their aptitude for source evaluation, persuasive cross-cultural communication, collaborative problem-solving, and adaptation to evolving communicative contexts.

Substantial tensions surface during systemic realignment of pedagogy, assessment, and teacher development. High-stakes examinations persistently tether English instruction to conventional methodologies in both South Korea and China. Japan's reform initiatives confront enduring constraints through bureaucratic inertia and resource

limitations. Simultaneously, disparities amplify as advantaged students utilize sophisticated EdTech and private tutoring, accelerating their progress, while peers dependent on under-resourced public schools encounter dual deficits in academic and digital domains. Remedying these demands reinforced public investment in integrated English/digital education, diminishing dependence on market-oriented solutions that exacerbate inequity.

Future policy efficacy hinges upon five interdependent transformations:

• **Reconceptualizing proficiency** beyond grammatical precision to encompass textual analysis, collaborative solution-building, and adaptive communication—core requisites for global participation

• **Restructuring assessment** to evaluate authentic analytical reasoning, persuasive communication in contextual settings, and cross-cultural navigation

• **Prioritizing sustained teacher development** focused on purposeful technology integration and cultivation of complex cognition

• **Centering equity** through fortified public institutions ensuring universal access to quality English/digital literacy

• **Embedding critical digital citizenship** to navigate information ecosystems discerningly and communicate ethically across digital interfaces

Ultimately, the global positioning of these East Asian nations—and their citizens' capacity for substantive international engagement—will be significantly influenced by the agility demonstrated in reimagining English education. Successful outcomes require policy architectures attuned not solely to economic objectives, but to fostering multidimensional human competencies essential for meaningful global participation.

References

[1] Butler, Y. G., & Iino, M. (2005). Current Japanese reforms in English language education: The 2003 "Action Plan". Language Policy, 4(1), 25–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10993-004-6563-5.

[2] Choi, L. J. (2008). The shift from "English as a foreign language" to "English as a lingua franca" in Korea: A critical review. English Today, 24(1), 45–51. https://doi.org/10.1017/S026607840800008X.

[3] Hashimoto, K. (2009). Cultivating "Japanese who can use English": Problems and contradictions in government policy. Asian Studies Review, 33(1), 21–42. https://doi.org/10.1080/10357820802716166.

[4] Hu, G. (2007). The juggernaut of Chinese-English bilingual education. In A. W. Feng (Ed.), Bilingual Education in China: Practices, Policies and Concepts (pp. 94–126). Multilingual Matters.

[5] Kim, R. (2016). Shadow education and the curriculum and culture of schooling in South Korea. Curriculum Studies, 48(6), 767–782. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2015.1088062.

[6] Korea Ministry of Education (MOE). (2020). AI Digital Textbook Development and Application Plan. [Korean Government Official Document]. Retrieved from https://www.moe.go.kr.

[7] Korea Ministry of Education (MOE). (2021). Education Policy Direction in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. [Korean Government Official Document]. Retrieved from https://www.moe.go.kr.

[8] MEXT (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan). (2018). Course of Study for Foreign Languages (English). http://www.mext.go.jp/component/a_menu/education/micro_detail/__icsFiles/afieldfile/2019/03/18/1387018_010.pdf.

[9] MOE (Ministry of Education, People's Republic of China). (2022). Key Points of the Educational Informatization and Cybersecurity Work in 2022. [Chinese Government Official Document]. Retrieved from http://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A16/s3342/202203/t20220301_603285.html.

[10]Park, J.-K. (2009). 'English fever' in South Korea: its history and symptoms. English Today, 25(1), 50–57. https://doi.org/10.1017/S026607840900008X.

[11]Spolsky, B. (2004). Language Policy. Cambridge University Press.

[12]OECD. (2021). Digital Education Outlook 2021: Pushing the Frontiers with Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain and Robots. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/589b283f-en.

[13]UNESCO. (2019). Beijing Consensus on Artificial Intelligence and Education. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000368303.